Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/612,111	SHATTUCK, DON
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alison K. Pickard	3673
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Alison K. Pickard.	(3)	
(2) Matthew Denehy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 August 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
		•
•		
	•	•
A. The Rain		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to confirm that this application had been abandoned. However, it appears that Applicant attempted to respond, but the response was still not in the proper form and had the wrong serial number on it. Therefore, the application is considered abandoned since a proper reply has not been received in this case as of 8-17-05. Applicant might petition...